Sebastian von Mammen<sup>1</sup>, Abbas Sarraf Shirazi<sup>1</sup>, Vladimir Sarpe<sup>1</sup>, and Christian Jacob<sup>1,2</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Dept. of Computer Science, Faculty of Science
<sup>2</sup> Dept. of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Faculty of Medicine University of Calgary, Canada

**Abstract.** In computational swarms, large numbers of reactive agents are simulated. The swarm individuals may coordinate their movements in a "search space" to create efficient routes, to occupy niches or to find the highest peaks. From a more general perspective though, swarms are a means of representation and computation to bridge the gap between local, individual interactions and global, emergent phenomena.

Computational swarms bear great advantages over other numeric methods, for instance regarding their extensibility, potential for real-time interaction, dynamic interaction topologies, close translation between natural science theory and the computational model, and the integration of multi-scale and multi-physics aspects. However, the more comprehensive a swarm-based model becomes, the more demanding is its configuration and the more costly its computation.

In this article, we present an approach to effectively configure and efficiently compute swarm-based simulations by means of heuristic, population-based optimization techniques. We emphasize the commonalities of several of our recent studies that shed light on top-down model optimization and bottom-up abstraction techniques, culminating in a the postulation of a general concept of self-organized optimization in swarmbased simulations.

# 1 Introduction

Agent-based modelling techniques have prepared the stage for the systematic exploration of complex systems. The interconnection of multiple simple, statebased units, as propagated in cellular automata [53] or random boolean networks [21], yields complex, a priori unpredictable but iteratively computable system behaviours. Discretization and confined interaction spaces have rendered a systematic and comprehensive investigation possible that has provided far-reaching conceptual insights—most prominently the identification of complex systems [22, 55].

Taking the alternative route and trying to consider and integrate even minute details unearthed by natural scientists and amalgamating them into one comprehensive computational model is a daunting task. Yet, steps in this direction have been successfully taken. Material scientists have paved the road in the field of

multi-scale model integration in order to gain insights into the properties and behaviours of compound materials [16]. Biomedical researchers have recently been taking similar approaches that target numerous scales of human physiology from the level of gene expression up to a human population [14]. The integration of model data different from traditional equation-based systems is also moving forward. Recent trends in developmental simulations, for instance, integrate high-level agent behaviours, such as morphogenesis or proliferation, and physical environmental constraints [3, 54, 39, 51]. Although these simulations are typically confined to lattice spaces, often even to two spatial dimensions only, they show considerable promise in retracing natural phenomena of growth and physiological development.

Unfortunately, one inevitably faces a trade-off between real world phenomena and the intricacies of the corresponding models, between the number of interdependent variables and computational viability—in terms of computational efficiency and of effectiveness regarding the expected results. Agent-based models scale particularly poorly with increasing degrees of interaction and increasing numbers of simulated agents. Due to their numerous advantages, exactly these two aspects are emphasized in swarm-based models. These large-scale multiagent models typically support dynamic interaction topologies, allow the agents to interact spatially, and they target the transition between local interactions and emergent global effects. The great variability in swarms not only demands for special diligence to maintain computational efficiency, for instance by reducing the search space for interacting individuals based on preceding simulation states [27]. It also exalts the hardship of formulating and parameterizing the agents behaviours—even the execution order of location update and velocity integration in simple flocking simulations yields fundamentally different global results [18]. These seemingly two distinct problems can both be tackled by optimizing the behaviours of swarm individuals.

In this article, we present selected works that show how swarms can be optimized to retrace global effects on the one hand and how they can be optimized to maintain computational efficiency on the other hand. In particular, the remainder of this article is structured as follows. In Section 2 we give a brief overview of select topics around the optimization of swarms (as opposed to using swarms for the purpose of optimization). Section 3 demonstrates how swarms can be adapted to meet specific expectations. In Section 4 we present an approach how swarm simulations could re-organize themselves during runtime to maintain computational efficiency. We conclude with a summary of this article and an integrative outlook on swarm optimization in Section 5.

# 2 Related Work

The work presented in this article is inspired and motivated by several disciplines of computer science and their applications. Craig Reynolds raised a lot of excitement in the computer graphics community when he demonstrated the simulation of flocking bird-oids, or boids, at the SIGGRAPH conference in 1987 [36]. Simple acceleration urges steered the boids in accordance with their local neighbourhoods through three-dimensionally rendered virtual worlds. The principles of large numbers of particles attracting and repelling one another in spatial simulations have also received considerable attention by physicists [10, 8,43]. In many occasions, Eric Bonabeau, Scott Camazine and their colleagues built computational swarm models to retrace the biological behaviours of social insects [5,7]. Marco Dorigo, James Kennedy their colleagues were forerunners to apply computational swarms for the purpose of optimization [24, 12].

### 2.1 Evolution of Constructive Swarms

Some of the mentioned scientists emphasized the applications of computational swarms for visualization or optimization, others focussed their efforts on the design of accurate biological models. Bonabeau et al. for instance, designed agent-based models to examine the nature of the cooperation of social insects. In models of nest construction, agents deposit particles triggered by environmental stimuli. Their behaviour was expressed in sets of rules that test the individuals' neighbourhood situations. Randomly chosen behavioural rules do not yield interesting structures. However, the researchers found rule sets that recreated the shapes of the different wasp genera's nests; Epipona, Parabolybia, Stelopolybia, Vespa, Chatergus. Marcin Pilat later added rule sets for the wasp families Agelaia, Parachatergus, and Vespula [33]. Motivated by the constructive character of these simulations, some of the authors of this article merged L-systems, formal production systems to generate plant-like geometric structures [34], with the interaction dynamics of swarms (swarm grammars, [20]). Similar to the work in which Henry Kwong and Christian Jacob interactively genetically bred novel parameter sets for boid flock formations [28], swarm grammars were also bred interactively and in immersive breeding grounds in three-dimensional space [46, 49].

### 2.2 Bottom-up and Cross-scale Modelling

Evolutionary breeding techniques have been used to optimize a vast range of computational models—from random boolean networks [15] and cellular automata [1] to L-systems [19] and membrane computing models [40]. Despite their algorithmic and formal universality, the underlying modelling approaches are designed to reflect special properties of the target systems; random boolean networks emphasize the interdependencies of genes, cellular automata and Lsystems focus on fixed neighbourhood structures of differentiating cells, whereas membrane computing models, or p-systems, focus on the processes that occur between distinct tissues. Computational swarms find applications across scales from molecular artificial chemistries to social science simulations—because of their inherently flexible interaction topologies and the focus on the relationship between local interactions and global effects. Therefore, Nelson Minar and his colleagues emphasized their multi-scalar properties and promoted a hierarchical design approach to swarm models [32].

## 2.3 Learning Hierarchies

First steps toward the design of emergent multi-scale models—where interactions on one level recursively determine the behaviour of the next higher levels, as opposed to chaining up differential equation systems that operate at different levels—were naturally taken in the domain of artificial chemistries. Rasmussen et al. designed a computational model in which increasingly complex structures emerge exhibiting novel properties—from monomers to polymers to micelles [35]. Although these experiments clearly retrace the formation of patterns at several levels of scale, Dorin and McCormack claim that such phenomena are not surprising given the model's simplicity. Dorin and McCormack argue that it takes considerably more effort to determine the novelties at higher levels in the hierarchy [13].

Dessalles and Phan foresaw a system in which detectors would identify emergent patterns in simulations and subsume the activity of the respective lower level objects [11]. Similarly, Denzinger and Hamdan introduced a modelling agent that observes the behaviours of other agents and maps them to predefined stereotypes [9]. Periodic re-evaluations of the agents' behaviours provided the opportunity to adjust the mappings in accordance with the dynamics of the system. Not only might the local interaction patterns change over time, but high-level phenomena might also influence the underlying layers. Lavelle et al. use the term immergence, or downward causation, to describe the impact of high-level organizations on entities at lower scales [29]. They postulate that explicit functions must be defined to bridge between micro and macro levels.

# 3 Guiding Emergence

Part of the fascination and the scientific value of computational simulation lies in the prediction of emergent phenomena. The driving computation may be based on various representations, e.g. mathematical equations, logical facts, or rule-based interactions. Numeric, iterative simulations can also be used to infer plausible underlying models for a given phenomenon, expressed by means of the utilized representation. Swarm-based simulations are of particular interest as they are typically setup to bridge the gap between local interactions and global, emergent properties and processes<sup>3</sup>. In this section, we present several approaches to optimize the local behaviours of swarm individuals in order to retrace predefined emergent phenomena. Hereby, we rely on evolutionary computation techniques and we distinguish between fixed predefined target criteria and those that change over time.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Abduction refers to the corresponding logic-based approach to inferring the underlying parts of a model, whereas the field of inverse and ill-posed problems represents the mathematical, analytical analogue.

## 3.1 Guiding along 2D Surfaces

Inspired by observations of their natural counterparts, computational swarm models are often represented in two or three spatial dimensions. As the individuals' interactions depend on and impact the corresponding, spatially reflected interaction topologies, swarms lend themselves well for studying emergent phenomena that are graphically representable.

In [48], we showed how a virtual boid flock [37] can be bred so that its individuals maximize the time spent in predefined two-dimensional areas while flocking. In these experiments (Figs. 1 and 2), each swarm individual, or boid, is depicted as a triangle that is oriented towards its velocity. It identifies its neighbours inside of a forward-projected conic field of perception that is determined by a radius r and an angle  $\alpha$ . To some extent, a boid accelerates randomly, however, its neighbours have a major impact on its trajectory. In particular, a boid follows an urge to align with its neighbours, to flock toward their geometric centre (cohesion urge), and to accelerate away from neighbours that are too close. This separation urge is triggered whenever a neighbour is closer than a given minimal distance. For the given experiment, the alignment, cohesion and separation vectors are normalized by dividing through the number of neighbours, whereas the random vector is normalized to a unit-vector. An individual's acceleration is computed by the weighted sum of these vectors. As a result, the genotype of a boid comprises the parameters for the field of perception (r and  $\alpha$ ), the minimum distance  $d_{min}$ , as well as the weight coefficients  $c_{coh}, c_{sep}, c_{ali}, c_{ran}$  and limit values for both acceleration and velocity,  $a_{max}$  and  $v_{max}$ , respectively.

Figures 1 and 2 show boids that were optimized by means of an evolutionary algorithm to flock in the tiled areas. In Fig. 1(a) the flock breaks up into several clusters to reach the corners of the simulation space. In a second experiment, the flock formation shown in Fig. 1(b) achieves a high fitness value due to the great similarity between its shape and the tiled target area. Another specimen that was discovered in the second evolutionary setting is presented in Figure 2. It solved the given, non-symmetrical task utilizing the constraints of the simulation environment, great dispersion but a great degree of connectivity among the boids. In Figure 2(a) the individuals spread radially from the origin. When repelled from the edges, the flock breaks into four parts (Fig. 2(b)). To the left and to the right, new clusters form and head back to the world centre (Fig. 2(c)), which makes at least one of the clusters pass across the tiles to the left centre of the simulation space (Fig. 2(c) and (d)).

In order to breed viable boid parameters for homogeneous flocks, we used a standard Genetic Algorithm (GA) which implemented: (1) Rank-based selection: 70% for the best 20%, 20% for genotypes between 20% and 50% of the ranks and 10% probability for the remainder of the parent population. (2) Recombination for half the offspring with multipoint crossover, normally distributed across the genotype. (3) Mutation of previous genotypes for the remaining offspring with a mutation probability p = 0.2 on single genes. We computed the phenotype fitnesses based on Equation 1. It sums the collisions of boids on all tiles, up to a maximum number of collisions per tile, over the course of a simulation. m denotes



**Fig. 1.** (a) A flock has learned to swarm to the edges of the simulation space. (b) The flight in formation of a broad stripe maximizes the flock's fitness when hitting the rectangular tiles.



Fig. 2. An evolved swarm relies on interactions with the environment in order to hit a non-symmetrical tiled area.

the number of swarm agents, n the number of tiles,  $t_{sim}$  the simulation time, and the function c() yields the number of collisions between swarm individuals and an individual tile  $n_{ind}$  at time step t. In order to promote a smooth distribution of agents across the given tiles, the fitness evaluation function considers at most  $c_{max}$  agents on one tile. The final sum is normalized by the number of simulation steps and the number of swarm agents.

$$f_{2D} = \frac{1}{t_{sim}m} \sum_{n_{ind}=0}^{n} \sum_{t=0}^{t_{sim}} \min(c(n_{ind}, t), c_{max}), \text{ with } c_{max} = \frac{m}{n}$$
(1)

The genotypes of the three presented cases are detailed in Table 2. The first one, depicted in Fig. 1(a), yields a high degree of scattered clusters due to the high cohesion and alignment weights and the narrow perception angle. The third genotype (Fig. 2) is a descendant of the second one (Fig. 1(a)). Its cohesion and alignment weights dropped significantly while its perception radius increased to the maximally possible value.  $d_{min}$  is greater than the actual perception radius in all three cases which implies that the separation urge was consistently triggered by all perceived neighbours.

Phenotype	$\alpha$	$d_{min}$	r	$c_{coh}$	$c_{sep}$	$c_{ali}$	$c_{ran}$	$v_{max}$	$a_{max}$
Figure 1(a)	0.74	90.28	56.16	4.23	1.62	5.0	0.55	6.51	20.02
Figure 1(b)	1.29	100.0	33.70	0.40	3.96	4.53	4.16	8.32	13.17
Figure 2	3.14	100.0	70.84	0.07	3.25	1.12	3.13	8.91	13.45

**Table 1.** Genotype vectors of the boid flocks shown in Figures 1 and 2 (rounded to two decimal places).

## 3.2 Guiding through 3D Volumes

In [50, 45], we presented an approach to guiding swarm dynamics very similar to the one in Section 3.1. The model was inspired by work on nest construction in social insects [5, 7]. In this model, in addition to following the flocking parameters outlined in Section 3.1, environmental stimuli prompted the individuals to place or remove cubic building blocks in virtual three-dimensional space (gravitation was not simulated, intersecting building blocks not allowed). The individuals' construction behaviour was expressed as *if-then* rules. The rules' antecedents would test the existence of up to five building blocks that were positioned relative to the acting individual. The consequence of each of twenty allowed rules could trigger the creation or destruction of a building block at specified relative coordinates, or it could set or reset the acting individual's point of focus coordinates. If set, the individual would be accelerated towards the point of focus alongside of the basic boid urges of alignment, separation, cohesion and some random acceleration. In addition, we also introduced an acceleration urge toward the ground that would increase with an individual's height.  $c_{foc}$  and  $c_{aro}$  denote the weight coefficients for these two additional urges, respectively.

Again, we used a standard GA to breed swarms that were guided by geometrical constraints. This time, the fitness of a swarm was determined by the ratio of building blocks built inside and outside of a predefined three-dimensional structure composed of a set of cubes. An initial seed cube marked the site a swarm's

construction efforts would be measured against. Figure 3 shows the predefined structures and the swarm-based constructions of two different experiments. Instead of multi-point crossover operators, recombination is performed for 40% of the offspring based on a randomly generated two-point crossover mask that preserves pairs of dependent rules with a greater probability. The number of rules of the offspring is limited to the smaller number of rules of the parents. The parents for all the offspring were chosen by means of fitness proportionate selection. In addition the ten best individuals were always considered as parents (kBest with k = 10). Mutation is performed per boid gene with a probability of  $m_{boid} = 0.2$ , whereas the conditions, the action, and the action parameter (a relative position) are considered for mutation independently with a probability  $m_{rule} = 0.1$ . In the evolutionary experiments, we emphasized the coordination of construction and fixed some of the flocking parameters. In particular,  $d_{min} = r = 2.0, \alpha = 2.0$ ,  $v_{max} = 0.5$ , and  $a_{max} = 0.3$ . Please note that for these experiments a different simulation environment, VIGO [6], was used which resulted in a spatial scaling factor much smaller than in Section 3.1.



Fig. 3. Swarm constructions (inner aggregations) are guided by predefined 3D structures (outer grids).

The construction rule sets of the two independently bred swarms depicted in Figure 3 were dominated by unconditional and conditional rules for cube creation. Each of the swarms also set and reset the individuals' focusses (3 unconditional construction rules in (a-b), 4 in (c-d), and 2 conditional ones in both specimens). In the swarm depicted in Figure 3(a-b), the individuals also unconditionally removed construction elements in a relative location. Further information about these rule sets can be found in [45].

Phenotype	$c_{coh}$	$c_{sep}$	$c_{ali}$	$c_{ran}$	$c_{foc}$	$c_{gro}$
Figure 3(a),(b)	0.18	0.06	0.30	0.00	0.14	0.17
Figure 3(c),(d)	0.16	0.43	0.16	0.00	0.23	0.12

**Table 2.** Flocking genotypes of the constructive swarms shown in Figures 3(a),(b) and (c),(d), respectively (rounded to two decimal places).

## 3.3 Tracing and Learning Flock Dynamics

The speciality of a swarm is its inherently dynamic interaction topology and the resulting feedback on its global behaviour. In [47], we analyzed previously discovered boid flock specimens [28] based on their interaction topologies over time. We also presented an approach to finding new flock configurations whose interaction topologies evolved in accordance with predefined functions that reflect naturally occurring phenomena such as biological switches and clocks or timers. In particular, we showed that a step function can be approximated by a flock's average neighbourhood degree  $\bar{n}$ , if its individuals slowly drift away from one another and that an oscillating neighbourhood degree can be established by a pulsating flock. Here, we want to share the latter example, as its characteristic sequence of phase transitions is especially interesting in the context of complex simulation research.

As the initial configuration of a complex system may heavily impact the results of a numeric experiment, we encoded the initial configurations (position, velocity and acceleration) of individuals as part of a swarm's genotype, similar to an epigenetic factor. In order to provide a spatial point of reference, we allow the swarm to urge toward the world centre,  $\boldsymbol{o} = (0,0,0)^T$  (weighted by  $c_{foc}$ ). This time, we simply configured a Genetic Algorithm with fitness proportionate selection, incremental mutation and multi-point crossover on all numeric values. To enforce the approximation of a predefined target function, we computed the following fitness value:  $f_{oscillation} = 1/\left(\sum_{t=1}^{40} |\bar{n}(t) - x(t)|\right)$ . Over a period of 40 time steps, the fitness diminishes proportional to the absolute difference between the target function x(t) and its approximation  $\bar{n}(t)$ .

Figure 4 shows the neighbourhood function  $\bar{n}(t)$  as exhibited by the evolved swarm configuration listed in Table 3. The oscillation happens as the flock repeatedly expands (Figure 5) and contracts (Figure 6). Leaps from a plateau to a local maximum, as seen at t = 100, occur when formerly separated flocks rejoin. Eventually, at t = 1244, the oscillation ends (Figure 4(b)); this is when the agents form a tight cluster and start orbiting around the world centre. In order to facilitate the identification of flocking patterns, we activated motion blurring in the renderings.



**Fig. 4.** (a) The average neighbourhood of a flock  $\bar{n}$  approximates a sine function that it learned until t = 40. (b) At t = 1244, the flock forms a tight cluster and remains in an equilibrium with  $\bar{n} \in [0.35; 0.45]$ .

Phenotype	α	$d_{min}$	r	$c_{coh}$	$c_{sep}$	$c_{ali}$	$c_{ran}$	$c_{foc}$	$a_{max}$	$v_{max}$
Figures 5 & 6	2.64	4.12	7.86	0.95	0.53	0.76	0.76	0.36	12.15	7.16

**Table 3.** Evolved swarm parameters that result in the neighbourhood evolution shown in Figure 4. The corresponding flocks oscillate though repeated contraction and expansion (Figure 5).



Fig. 5. The series of images shows how a swarm in a knot formation expands to two sides. Eventually, two flocks emerge and head into opposing directions.



**Fig. 6.** (a-b) Two flocks head toward the world centre from opposing directions. (c) They avoid each other at first. But soon they closely interact again. (The images were adjusted to fit both flocks, the zoom was slightly increased once for capturing (d-f)).

## 3.4 Parameter Optimization in a Heterogeneous Predator-Prey Model

As a test bed for learning the behavioural parameters of heterogeneous swarms, we chose a classic predator-prey model, in which the populations of prey p and predator individuals P depend on one another [30, 44]. The Lotka-Volterra differential equations (DEs) describe the dynamics of a predator-prey ecosystem (Equations 2 and 3). In our corresponding, two-dimensional swarm-based model, both prey and predators wander about randomly. Prey dies when encountering a predator. It also dies of other causes with probability  $\beta$  at each step of the simulation, or it reproduces with probability  $\alpha$ . Predators prosper from nutritional encounters with prey individuals and reproduce on the spot with a probability  $\gamma$ . Their deaths occur with probability  $\delta$ . The populations of predator and prey individuals,  $p_{init}$  and  $P_{init}$ , are initially set to magnitudes between 10 and 500.

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = p(\alpha - \beta P) \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = -P(\gamma p - \delta P) \tag{3}$$

We reverse engineered the parameters for the swarm model relying on several algorithms. First, we discretized the continuous results of Equations 2 and 3 by means of an online time-series segmentation algorithm [25]. We then measured the similarity value between the time series produced by the swarm-based model and the segmented differential equation results using a generic Dynamic Time Warping Algorithm [38, 4]. This measure served as the fitness value to search for adequate solutions based on Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) [23].

Different from the experiments presented in Section 3.3, the swarm individuals in this predator-prey model cannot alternate their velocities. Therefore, in order to approximate a given plot with a fixed time scale, we optimized for qualitative similarity between the swarm simulation and the DE-system. We accomplished this by adding the number of simulated steps to the swarm configuration. A single scalar factor suffices to match the evolved and the expected graphs.

In order to foster robust solutions, we ran each simulation three times for a given set of parameters and considered the average performance as the particular swarm's fitness value. Twenty optimization experiments yielded two prototypical swarm configurations (Table 4). Their average evolution over the course of one simulation is depicted in Figure 7. While the overall PSO experiments have converged on two different solutions, each of them is still close to the DE-based results. The second class of solutions, Figure 7(b), qualitatively matches the DE model better as the population of prey individuals recovers at the end of the simulation. We give credit for this development to the greater reproduction rate  $\alpha$  of prey individuals as seen in Table 4. The shift between the swarm-based approximations and the DE-based target graphs in Figure 7 is the result of a relatively generous error threshold for the similarity measures.

Phenotype	$\alpha$	$\beta$	$\gamma$	δ	$ p_{init} $	$ P_{init} $	steps
Figure 7(a)	0.38	0.13	0.64	0.18	432.63	317.13	132.63
Figure 7(b)	0.76	0.30	0.69	0.20	436.44	330.64	119.26

**Table 4.** Average parameters of two classes of swarm-based predator-prey models that were found using Particle Swarm Optimization (rounded to two decimal places).



**Fig. 7.** (a) and (b) show the population dynamics of two prototypical swarm configurations compared to the results of the Lotka-Volterra DE model of a predator-prey system. The results of both modelling approaches had to be scaled to match (see *steps* in Table 4), yielding these qualitative diagrams.

# 4 Abstract and Scale

In the previous section, we demonstrated the optimization of swarm behaviours in respect to statically measurable outcomes, dynamics over time, and heterogeneous system compositions. While the resulting systems may suffice to retrace and explore certain isolated phenomena, the extensibility of swarms, their intrinsic potential to interface with newly introduced elements and to yield high-level emergent properties renders scalability of swarms another great challenge.

The flexibility of swarm-based modelling comes at a cost. Without further optimization, the identification of interaction partners of n swarm individuals alone yields a computational complexity of  $O(n^2)$ . Typically, the interaction scope of large numbers of units may, therefore, be drastically reduced. The interaction in spatial environments are often limited to to the local, discrete neighbourhoods relying on discrete computational modelling approaches such as cellular automata or cellular potts [2]. However, the ability of the models to continuously change their interaction topologies among the agents is crucial to capture the systems' dynamics responsible, for instance, for emergent transportation [43]. Of course, this confinement does not only apply to spatial interactions but to the number of dimensions of interactions in general, to the number of individual interaction rules, and to the number of simulated individuals. A system of automated abstraction, which learns the local patterns and subsumes them as high-level agents, offers a perspective for a truly scalable computational approach. Instead

of learning behaviours motivated by predefined patterns as exercised in the previous section, we now demonstrate how emergent patterns that occur among (properly trained) agents can be learned, rephrased as higher level behaviours, and utilized to reduce the number of simulated agents.

## 4.1 Towards Self-organizing Hierarchies

Early on when we started our investigations, we already had a rather clear picture of our envisioned abstraction framework. It should automatically, and in a decentralized fashion, create abstractions in a simulation, whenever possible, and abolish them, whenever necessary. As we imagined it to be primarily deployed in swarm systems, it was obvious to us that the abstractions should be discovered and managed by special swarm individuals that are immersed into arbitrary swarm simulations. We termed this concept self-organized middle-out abstraction approach, or SOMO [52]—"middle-out" referring to the idea that it would create higher level representations (bottom-up) but also break them down again (top-down).

However, in order to ensure the validity of our conceptual foundation, we narrowed down the scope of our first set of experiments [41]. Therein, we identified correlated nodes in gene regulation networks (modelled by a set of simple differential equations), approximated their behaviours as groups by means of artificial neural networks (ANNs) and subsumed the lower level nodes by high-level agents, or meta-agents. High correlation values between concentrations would consistently yield higher level agents, whereas drops in the correlation values of previously grouped nodes resulted in the removal of the respective, outdated abstraction. Figures 8(a-b) and (e-f) show the results of this greedy approach when applied to two different MAPK pathway models, one resulting in a sigmoidal concentration of the MAPK-PP protein [17], the other one in a periodic expression pattern [26]. The relationship between inaccurate emulation by the meta-agents and the number of meta-agents in the system is obvious when comparing Figures 8(a) and (e): The occurrence of dips in the otherwise smooth approximative graph triggers the removal of abstractions. In the periodic model, changes occur too frequently to be accommodated by the meta-agents which resulted in a high frequency of their creation and removal (Figure 8(d)).

Although the overall performance of the the greedy abstraction approach was far from satisfactory, it successfully reduced the number of agents in the system. In our second set of experiments, we attempted to amend the particularly short lifespans of the abstractions seen in the periodic MAPK model in Figure 8(d). So we promoted a dynamic management of the learned meta-agent hierarchies [42]. Whenever a meta-agent became obsolete, it would restore the subsumed, previously active abstraction hierarchy. Figures 8(c-f) depict the results of this *hierarchical* approach. The stepwise restoration of lower-level abstractions is clearly identifiable in Figure 8(e): At about t = 2250 one meta-agent, which was trained by means of standard Genetic Programming (GP), is removed and its two underlying meta-agents are re-introduced into the simulation. Before this point in time, the learning process consistently built greater abstractions. The predictions by the meta-agents exhibited greater inaccuracy than in the greedy case. In addition, the divergence between target graph and approximative results (Figure 8(c)) does not coincide well with the creation and removal of meta-agents (Figure 8(e)); it is surprising that yet another hierarchical level is added shortly after time step t = 2000, even though the preceding emulated concentration strongly deviated from the target function.

### 4.2 Immersive Decentralized Abstraction

We believe that the optimization and further the situation-dependent choice of apt parameter set for the efficient abstraction and hierarchy management necessitate in-depth studies on top of a fully fledged SOMO prototype. Therefore, for our next experiments, instead of fine-tuning the parameters to optimize the ratio between agent-reduction and accurate emulation, we searched for a better learning example—one that allows for the deployment of self-organizing, abstracting swarm individuals in the context of a swarm simulation. As previous results had suggested (Section 4.1), linear instead of periodic system dynamics promised the best results for a prototype SOMO implementation. Hence, we adjusted the SOMO system and designed swarm individuals that could be immersed into a swarm simulation of the physiological process of blood coagulation.

In addition to the swarm individuals of the model, or *model agents*, we designed an observer agent. It observes model agents and logs their interactions in an *interaction history* that serves as a database for pattern recognition. An entry in an interaction history contains, for instance, a reference to the acting agent A, the executed action act with time stamp t along with the set of interaction partners  $\mathcal{A}$ . In our prototype, the observer applies a k-means clustering algorithm [31] to find a cluster of overlapping interaction partners as soon as the interaction history contains a sufficiently large set of logged entries. Once a cluster is identified, the observer infers a generalized group behaviour from the logged interaction data: It learns the information that remains fixed across the set of relevant rules and it identifies boundaries, periodicities and probabilities of reoccurring variable actions. All the logged interactions that led to the rules of the newly phrased group behaviour are removed from the lower level individuals and the observer starts performing on their behalf. Initially, the observer has an unbiased confidence in a newly learned abstraction. Periodically testing the behaviour of the subsumed agents in the current situation lets the observer adjust this confidence—it grows, if the predictions were correct, otherwise it drops. The observer fully restores the subsumed agents, should the confidence drop below a certain threshold. The behavioural subsumption by the observer reduces the otherwise necessary tests for triggering actions and the search for the respective interaction partners. Of course, in a deployment scenario, this performance gain would be measured against the computational overhead for observing model agents, abstracting, validating, and possibly removing group behaviours.

We immersed the prototype SOMO observer in a swarm-based blood coagulation simulation in which bio-agents aggregate at a wound site and form a clot (Figure 9). After t = 100, the observer identifies k = 30 clusters in its



Fig. 8. Simulations based on a non-periodic and a periodic MAPK pathway model are shown on the left-hand and the right-hand side, respectively. Comparisons between the differential equation system and a greedy (a-b) and a hierarchical (c-d) abstraction approach are shown. The results of the DE model are indicted by dashed lines, the agent-based dynamics are depicted as shaded areas. The numbers of agents deployed by the abstraction approaches are compared in (e) and (f) (individual legends are provided in these two diagrams).

interaction history and the centroid of the largest cluster is considered to be the learned group behaviour for which  $[t_{min}, t_{max}]$  and  $p_{exec}$  are inferred. At intervals of  $\Delta = 10$ , the observer updates its confidence values; abstractions with confidence values below  $\tau = 30\%$  are revoked. In this environment, our prototype successfully identified and abstracted behaviours such as random movement, executed with probability  $p_{exec} = 100\%$  and  $t \in [0, \infty]$ , and state changes induced by collision ( $p_{exec} = 77\%$  and  $t \in [90, 95]$ ). Due to the model's simplicity, the number of calculated situations over the course of a simulation increases linearly with the number of incoming bio-agents (introduced by the blood stream). Our prototype managed to keep this number constant (Figure 10). Its overhead is shown in the additionally computed situations that occur just before the abstraction starts (t < 100). The peaks in our proposed method indicate the intervals at which some model agents are allowed to execute their actions.



Fig. 9. A swarm-based blood coagulation simulation shown from two perspectives at three consecutive time steps  $t_1...t_3$ .

# 5 Summary and Future Work

Swarm-based models and simulations bridge the gap between the level of local interactions and global system behaviours. Instead of programming a swarm system, one has to program its individuals, and in such a way that the whole swarm can accomplish its task. A computational swarm might, for instance, be designed to retrace and predict natural phenomena, to optimize mathematically phrased problems, or to support creative design decisions. In this article, we presented several experiments that elucidate how the behaviour of swarm individuals can be programmed.

18 Optimization of Swarm-based Simulations



Fig. 10. Number of agent situation evaluations over the course of a blood coagulation simulation, with and without SOMO abstraction.

First, in Section 3, we focussed on the interplay of globally defined constraints and the inferred behaviours of locally interacting swarm individuals. Due to the spatial properties of basic boid swarms, we formulated tasks geometrically to (1) evolve flocking swarms in 2D and (2) constructive swarms in 3D (by means of Genetic Algorithms). (3) We introduced a quantitative measure to capture the neighbourhood dynamics of boid flocks that allowed us to genetically breed boid individuals that would, in a group, approximate a predefined neighbourhood density function. (4) A heterogeneous swarm model of predator and prey concluded our explorations of guiding emergence; here, a system of differential equations specified the system dynamics, and the parameters of the two types of swarm individuals were learned (by means of Particle Swarm Optimization).

In Section 4, we then presented several stages toward an inherently scalable approach to swarm simulation, the Self-organized Middle-out abstraction framework, or SOMO. Here, meta-agents subsume the behaviours of lower level individuals based on re-occurring interaction patterns in order to reduce the number of required computation steps. Meta-agents organize themselves in hierarchies that are dynamically built up and broken down, depending on the demands of the ongoing simulation and the predictive power of the learned abstractions. In our experiments, we first (5) greedily subsumed low-level agents by meta-agents in an easily verifiable differential equation model of the MAPK signalling pathway (mitogen-activated protein kinase). (6) We introduced a dynamic management of hierarchies so that, upon the identification of an obsolete abstraction a preceding abstraction is restored instead of resetting all the learned accomplishments all at once. Finally, we (7) equipped special swarm individuals, so-called observer agents, with a behaviour to build and manage abstraction hierarchies based on interaction histories of groups of monitored individuals.

While examples (1) to (4) emphasize the top-down learning, breeding, or optimization of the behaviour of swarm individuals, instances (5) to (7) attempt the opposite; the SOMO approach learns and utilizes patterns that emerge from local interactions bottom-up, only breaking them down again should it become necessary. As much as these perspectives might differ, we believe, that they might serve as forerunners of an algorithmic framework for integrative, large-scale and multi-scale modelling and simulation. In the last paragraph of this article, we want to outline how this could work, at the same time implying a suggested direction of future work in this field.

The more specialized the interaction patterns a SOMO observer is looking for, the more efficiently will it identify and abstract them. A set of differently configured SOMO observers spread across the simulation space could evolve based on their success to abstract in their respective niches—one may assume that activity is strongly heterogeneous across the interaction dimensions of most large-scale simulations. At this point, the unsupervised online learning process of SOMO would be two-tear, considering the accuracy of the generated abstraction hierarchies and the configuration of the observer agents. Additional top-down constraints could be introduced by a second observer type that reconfigures individuals in order to reproduce specific process patterns. Such a top-down observer could substantially change the original model, so its influence should be strictly constrained. The conditional introduction and removal of top-down observers, depending, for instance, on the emergence of certain high-level behaviours learned by the currently implemented bottom-up SOMO observers, would enable an external modeller to embed expected milestones into a bottom-up computed multi-scale simulation and ensure the seamless computational integration of its scales.

## References

- D. Andre, F.H. Bennett III, and J.R. Koza. Discovery by genetic programming of a cellular automata rule that is better than any known rule for the majority classification problem. In *Proceedings of the First Annual Conference on Genetic Programming*, pages 3–11, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA, 1996. MIT Press.
- Francisco Azuaje. Computational discrete models of tissue growth and regeneration. Briefings in Bioinformatics, 12(1):64–77, 2011.
- Jacob Beal. Functional blueprints: An approach to modularity in grown systems. In ANTS 2010: Seventh International Conference on Swarm Intelligence, pages 179–190. Springer, 2010.
- Donald J. Berndt and James Clifford. Using dynamic time warping to find patterns in time series. In AAAI-94 Worshop on Knowledge Discovery in Databases (KDD-94), pages 359–370, 1994.
- Eric Bonabeau, Marco Dorigo, and Guy Theraulaz. Swarm Intelligence: From Natural to Artificial Systems. Santa Fe Institute Studies in the Sciences of Complexity. Oxford University Press, New York, 1999.

- 20 Optimization of Swarm-based Simulations
- Ian Burleigh. Vigo::3d: A framework for simulating and visualizing of threedimensional scenes. http://vigo.sourceforge.net/docs/, October 2008.
- Scott Camazine, Jean-Louis Deneubourg, Nigel R. Franks, James Sneyd, Guy Theraulaz, and Eric Bonabeau. *Self-Organization in Biological Systems*. Princeton Studies in Complexity. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2003.
- A. Czirok and T. Vicsek. Collective behavior of interacting self-propelled particles. Arxiv preprint cond-mat/0611742, 2006.
- Jörg Denzinger and Jasmine Hamdan. Improving observation-based modeling of other agents using tentative stereotyping and compactification through kd-tree structuring. Web Intelligence and Agent Systems, 4:255–270, 2006.
- I. Derényi and T. Vicsek. Cooperative transport of Brownian particles. J. Phys. I (France) Phys Rev Lett, 75:374, 1994.
- J L Dessalles and D Phan. Emergence in multi-agent systems: cognitive hierarchy, detection, and complexity reduction part I: methodological issues. *Artificial Economics*, 564:147–159, September 2006.
- M. Dorigo, V. Maniezzo, and A. Colorni. Ant system: optimization by a colony of cooperating agents. Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics, IEEE Transactions on, 26(1):29–41, 2002.
- Alan Dorin and Jon McCormack. Self-assembling dynamical hierarchies. Artificial life eight, page 423, 2003.
- 14. Thomas Eissing, Lars Kuepfer, Corina Becker, Michael Block, Katrin Coboeken, Thomas Gaub, Linus Goerlitz, Juergen Jaeger, Roland Loosen, Bernd Ludewig, Michaela Meyer, Christoph Niederalt, Michael Sevestre, Hans-Ulrich Siegmund, Juri Solodenko, Kirstin Thelen, Ulrich Telle, Wolfgang Weiss, Thomas Wendl, Stefan Willmann, and Joerg Lippert. A computational systems biology software platform for multiscale modeling and simulation: integrating whole-body physiology, disease biology, and molecular reaction networks. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 2(1–10), February 2011.
- 15. A. Esmaeili and C. Jacob. A multi-objective differential evolutionary approach toward more stable gene regulatory networks. *Biosystems*, 98(3):127–136, 2009.
- MF Horstemeyer. Multiscale modeling: A review. Practical Aspects of Computational Chemistry, pages 87–135, 2010.
- C Y Huang and J E Ferrell. Ultrasensitivity in the mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 93(19):10078–10083, September 1996.
- Cristián Huepe and Maximino Aldana. New tools for characterizing swarming systems: A comparison of minimal models. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 387(12):2809 – 2822, 2008.
- 19. Christian Jacob. Illustrating Evolutionary Computation with Mathematica. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, San Francisco, CA, 2001.
- Christian Jacob, Gerald Hushlak, Jeffrey Boyd, Paul Nuytten, Maxwell Sayles, and Marcin Pilat. Swarmart: Interactive art from swarm intelligence. *Leonardo*, 40(3), 2007.
- S.A. Kauffman. Metabolic stability and epigenesis in randomly constructed genetic nets. Journal of theoretical biology, 22(3):437–467, 1969.
- 22. S.A. Kauffman. The origins of order. Oxford Univ. Press New York, 1993.
- J. Kennedy and R. Eberhart. Particle swarm optimization. In *Proceedings., IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks*, volume 4, pages 1942–1948, 1995.
- James Kennedy, Russell C. Eberhart, and Yuhui Shi. Swarm Intelligence. The Morgan Kaufmann Series in Evolutionary Computation. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, San Francisco, 2001.

- Eamonn J. Keogh, Selina Chu, David Hart, and Michael J. Pazzani. An online algorithm for segmenting time series. In *Proceedings of the 2001 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining*, ICDM '01, pages 289–296, Washington, DC, USA, 2001. IEEE Computer Society.
- B N Kholodenko. Negative feedback and ultrasensitivity can bring about oscillations in the mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades. *European journal of biochemistry / FEBS*, 267(6):1583–1588, March 2000.
- J. Klein. breve: a 3D environment for the simulation of decentralized systems and artificial life. In *Proceedings of the eighth international conference on Artificial life*, pages 329–334. MIT Press, 2002.
- Henry Kwong and Christian Jacob. Evolutionary exploration of dynamic swarm behaviour. In *Congress on Evolutionary Computation*, Canberra, Australia, 2003. IEEE Press.
- 29. Christophe Lavelle, Hugues Berry, Guillaume Beslon, Francesco Ginelli, Jean-Louis J.L. Giavitto, Zoi Kapoula, A. Le Bivic, Nadine Peyrieras, Ovidiu Radulescu, Adrien Six, Others, André Le Bivic, Véronique Thomas-Vaslin, and Paul Bourgine. From Molecules to organisms: towards multiscale integrated models of biological systems. *Theoretical Biology Insights*, 1:13–22, 2008.
- Alfred J. Lotka. Contribution to the theory of periodic reaction. J. Phys. Chem., 14(3):271–274, 1910.
- 31. J B MacQueen. Some Methods for Classification and Analysis of MultiVariate Observations. In L M Le Cam and J Neyman, editors, Proc. of the fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, volume 1, pages 281–297. University of California Press, 1967.
- 32. N. Minar, R. Burkhart, C. Langton, and M. Askenazi. The swarm simulation system: A toolkit for building multi-agent simulations. Technical report, Santa Fe Institute, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA, 1996.
- Marcin Pilat. Wasp-inspired construction algorithms. Technical report, University of Calgary, 2004.
- Przemyslaw Prusinkiewicz and Aristid Lindenmayer. The Algorithmic Beauty of Plants. Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- Steen Rasmussen, Nils A Baas, Bernd Mayer, Martin Nilsson, and Michael W Olesen. Ansatz for dynamical hierarchies. *Artificial Life*, 7(4):329–353, March 2002.
- Craig W. Reynolds. Flocks, herds, and schools: A distributed behavioral model. In SIGGRAPH '87 Conference Proceedings, volume 4, pages 25–34, 1987.
- Craig W. Reynolds. Flocks, herds, and schools: A distributed behavioral model. Computer Graphics, 21(4):25–34, 1987.
- Hiroaki Sakoe. Dynamic programming algorithm optimization for spoken work recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, 26:43– 49, 1978.
- I. Salazar-Ciudad and J. Jernvall. A computational model of teeth and the developmental origins of morphological variation. *Nature*, 464(7288):583–586, 2010.
- V. Sarpe, A. Esmaeili, I. Yazdanbod, T. Kubik, M. Richter, and C. Jacob. Parametric evolution of a bacterial signalling system formalized by membrane computing. In CEC 2010, IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, pages 1–8, Barcelona, Spain, 2010. IEEE Press.
- 41. Abbas Sarraf Shirazi, Sebastian von Mammen, and Christian Jacob. Adaptive modularization of the MAPK signaling pathway using the multiagent paradigm. In PPSN'10: Proceedings of the 11th international conference on Parallel problem

*solving from nature: Part II*, volume 6239 of *PPSN'10*, pages 401–410, Dept. of Computer Science, Faculty of Science, University of Calgary, Canada, September 2010. IEEE Press, IEEE Press.

- 42. Abbas Sarraf Shirazi, Sebastian von Mammen, and Christian Jacob. Hierarchical self-organized learning in agent-based modeling of the MAPK signaling pathway. In Evolutionary Computation (CEC), 2011 IEEE Congress on, pages 2245–2251, June 2011.
- Tamás Vicsek and Anna Zafiris. Collective motion. Reviews of Modern Physics, Submitted: 2010.
- 44. Vito Volterra. Variazioni e fluttuazioni del numero d'individui in specie animali conviventi. *Mem. Acad. Lincei. Roma*, 2:31–113, 1926.
- 45. Sebastian von Mammen. Evolving artificial constructive swarms Experimental models and methodologies. VDM-Verlag, Saarbrücken, Germany, 2008.
- 46. Sebastian von Mammen and Christian Jacob. Genetic swarm grammar programming: Ecological breeding like a gardener. In Dipti Srinivasan and Lipo Wang, editors, CEC 2007, IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, IEEE Press, pages 851–858, Singapore, 2007.
- Sebastian von Mammen and Christian Jacob. The spatiality of swarms quantitative analysis of dynamic interaction networks. In *Proceedings of Artificial Life XI*, pages 662–669, Winchester, UK, 2008. MIT Press.
- 48. Sebastian von Mammen and Christian Jacob. Swarming for games: Immersion in complex systems. In Applications of Evolutionary Computing, Proceedings Part II, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages pp. 293–302, Tübingen, Germany, 2009. Springer Verlag.
- Sebastian von Mammen and Christian Jacob. The Evolution of Swarm Grammars: Growing Trees, Crafting Art and Bottom-Up Design. *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine*, August 2009.
- Sebastian von Mammen, Christian Jacob, and Gabriella Kókai. Evolving swarms that build 3d structures. In CEC 2005, IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, pages 1434–1441, Edinburgh, UK, 2005. IEEE Press.
- Sebastian von Mammen, David Phillips, Timothy Davison, Heather Jamniczky, Benedikt Hallgrímsson, and Christian Jacob. *Morphogenetic Engineering*, chapter Swarm-based Computational Development. Springer Verlag, (in press).
- 52. Sebastian von Mammen, Jan-Philipp Steghöfer, Jörg Denzinger, and Christian Jacob. Self-organized middle-out abstraction. In Christian Bettstetter and Carlos Gershenson, editors, *Self-Organizing Systems*, volume 6557 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 26–31, Karslruhe, Germany, 2011. Springer Verlag.
- 53. John von Neumann and Arthur W. Burks. *Theory of self-reproducing automata*. University of Illinois Press, Urbana and London, 1966.
- Justin Werfel. Biologically realistic primitives for engineered morphogenesis. In ANTS 2010: Seventh International Conference on Swarm Intelligence, pages 131– 141. Springer, 2010.
- Steven Wolfram. A new kind of science. Wolfram Media Inc., Champaign, Ilinois, US, United States, 2002.